

**CAMELOT COMMUNITY CARE  
QUALITY ASSURANCE OUTCOMES AND MEASURES**



# Camelot

## Community Care

*Bringing Families Together  
For A Bright Future.*



In Home/Outpatient Counseling Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report

224 15 14 22

**Lauderdale**

Comprehensive Assessments Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 In Home Counseling Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 In-Home Counseling 2 Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 Outpatient Counseling Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 STFC Crisis Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 STFC Level I Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 STFC II Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 Therapeutic Foster Care Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 Independent Living Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 Enhanced Foster Care Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 Family Directions Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 FFT Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 TIES Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report

20 1 2 1  
 99 18 18 11  
 38 2 0 2  
 0 0 0 0  
 0 0 0 0  
 7 0 1 0  
 5 0 1 0  
 0 0 0 0  
 91 2 3 4  
 21 2 3 1  
 21 8 6 2  
 71 7 4 1  
 25 18 20 13

43 80  
 304 361  
 82 112  
 0 0  
 2 1  
 16 16  
 12 9  
 0 2  
 140 144  
 37 31  
 38 98  
 128 91  
 340 554

**Palm Beach**

Comprehensive Assessments Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 In Home Counseling Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 Outpatient Counseling Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 FSPS Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 STFC Crisis Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 STFC Level I Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 STFC II Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 Therapeutic Foster Care Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report  
 Enhanced Foster Care Q-Facts Admissions/Discharges Report

64 22 43 22  
 13 0 4 3  
 0 0 0 0  
 30 6 3 5  
 0 0 0 0  
 7 0 0 0  
 11 0 1 1  
 0 0 0 0  
 7 0 0 0

219 276  
 66 78  
 4 1  
 33 33  
 2 4  
 10 5  
 20 22  
 1 1  
 11 17

3121 336 411 345 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

6307 7234

## Satisfaction Survey Data

For each quarter, record the average survey results for each office and for each type of survey

**Reporter**

**Director of Risk Management**

### Ohio Generated Suveys

### Scale

### Q1 2016-2017    Q2 2016-2017    Q3 2016-2017    Q4 2016-2017

### 2015-2016 Averages

How satisfied are you with the services you have received so far?	1-6 - Lower score the better
How Satisfied are you with the length of time it took to get services?	1-6 - Lower score the better
How satisfied are you with the agency staff's ability to work with your individual characteristics of race, ethnicity, religion and secual orientation	1-6 - Lower score the better
How much are you included in deciding your treatment?	1-6 - Lower score the better
Workers invovled in my case listen to me and know what I want.	1-6 - Lower score the better
I have a lot of say about what happens in my treatment	1-6 - Lower score the better
To what extent has our program met your needs	1-6 - Lower score the better
In an overall, general sense, how satisfied are you with the services you have received?	1-4 - Lower score the better
Would you recommend this agency to others?	1-4 - Higher Score the better

1.64			
1.56			
1.3			
1.3			
1.4			
1.5			
1.5			
1.3			
3.6			

1.4

1.325

1.3

1.325

1.275

1.425

1.525

1.25

3.775

## Satisfaction Survey Data

For each quarter, record the average survey results for each office and for each type of survey

Reporter                      Director of Risk Management

Florida Managing Entity Surveys	Scale	Q1 2016-2017			Q2 2016-2017			Q3 2016-2017			Q4 2016-2017			2015-2016 Average		
		Lauderdale	Palm beach	Ocala	Lauderdale	Palm beach	Ocala	Lauderdale	Palm beach	Ocala	Lauderdale	Palm beach	Ocala	Lauderdale	Palm Beach	Ocala
I was treated with respect	1-5 Higher score the better	4.9												4.925		4.93
I was seen for services on time	1-5 Higher score the better	4.7												4.9		4.93
I was able to talk with staff when I needed to	1-5 Higher score the better	4.8												4.65		4.83
I received services when I needed them	1-5 Higher score the better	4.7												4.9		4.83
It was easy for me to get to the office	1-5 Higher score the better	4.7												4.8		4.67
If I had a complaint, it was handled well	1-5 Higher score the better	4.8												4.85		4.63
I received services that were very helpful	1-5 Higher score the better	4.7												4.85		4.73
The staff heled me find other services that I needed	1-5 Higher score the better	4.8												4.925		4.83
Overall, I am satisfied with the services I received	1-5 Higher score the better	4.7												4.875		4.93

# Satisfaction Survey Data

For each quarter, record the average survey results for each office and for each type of survey

**Reporter**                      **Director of Risk Management**

## Ocala IRP Program

### Scale

### 2016-2017

### 2015-2016

The IRP Specialist was interested in hearing my family's story and listed to me about what the needs were for my family.

% yes

	Q 1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			
	100%			

100%

The IR Specialist included me in planning services for my family

% yes

Since working with my IRP Specialist, I am more aware of the resources that I can access to meet my Family's needs.

% yes

The IRP Specialist me and my family with respect

% yes

The IRP Specialist was supportive of my family's reunification

% yes

The IRP Specialist explained various community services to me and contact information was provided to me

% yes

I understood what was required of me by the Intensive Reunification program

% yes

The IRP Specialist respected my beliefs and values and incorporated them into the plan for services for my family.

% yes

I was made aware that I could call my IRP Specialist if I needed anything during my time in the program

% yes

My calls to the IRP Specialist were returned in a timely fashion

% yes

100%

100%

### No Longer used questions

% yes

I am satisfied with the IR Specialist attempts to help my family

% yes

This program provides good services for families

% yes

The IR Specialist used my beliefs and values to plan services

% yes

100%

100%

100%

100%



## Departure Survey Data

For each quarter, record the average survey results for each office and for each type of survey

Reporter Director of Risk Management

### Guardians and Caregivers

July - September 2017

Average

		Scale									
		40	41	50	70	10	12	80	31	33	
I was satisfied with the services that I received from the Camelot staff member	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.8	4.6					4.825
Since working with my Camelot staff member, I have learned skills/techniques to help me deal more effectively with my problems	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.7	4					4.6
My Camelot staff member allowed me to provide input into the service planning process	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.8	4.5					4.775
My Camelot staff member met with me at a time that was convenient.	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.8	4.6					4.825
My Camelot staff member was available when tried to contact him/her	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.8	4.6					4.825
My Camelot staff member treated me with respect.	1-5 (Higher the better)				5	4.6					4.875
Average		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	4.816667	4.483333	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	

### Child 7-12

I get along better with my family members since working with my Camelot staff member	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.3	4.25					4.383333
I get along better with my friends and other people since working with my Camelot staff member	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.3	3.5					3.866667
I am doing better in school since working with my Camelot staff member	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.5	4					4.4
My Camelot staff member helped me to solve my problems and make things better	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.4	3.5					4.166667
My Camelot staff member listened to me	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.6	4.75					4.716667
My Camelot staff member was available when I needed to talk to him/her	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.5	4.5					4.6
My Camelot staff member treated me good	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.6	4.5					4.7
Average		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	4.42	4	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	

### Child 12 and older

I was satisfied with the services that I received from the Camelot staff member	1-5 (Higher the better)				5	4					4.25
Since working with my Camelot staff member, I have learned skills/techniques to help me deal more effectively with my problems	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.8	4.6					4.6
My Camelot staff member allowed me to provide input into the service planning process	1-5 (Higher the better)				4.7	5					4.575



My Camelot staff member met with me at a time that was convenient. 1-5 (Higher the better)

My Camelot staff member was available when tried to contact him/her 1-5 (Higher the better)

My Camelot staff member treated me with respect. 1-5 (Higher the better)

Average

			4.8	5				
			4.8	5				
			5	5				

4.525

4.7

4.6

#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 4.85 4.8 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

Ohio Scales

July - September 2016

	Parent Forms			
	Symptoms	Life Satisfaction	Service Satisfaction	Functioning
Bradenton TFH	-3.25	-2.25	0.25	2.25
Clearwater TFH				
Lauderdale IHOP	-12.2	-3.9	1.9	13.1
Lauderdale TFH				
Lauderdale TIES				
Ocala IHOP	-9.2	-1.5	-3.9	0.3
Ocala TFH	-19.0	1.0	-0.7	19.7
Palm Beach IHOP				
Palm Beach TFH	-3.0	-6.0	13.0	12.0
Tallahassee IHOP	-20.3	-5.3	-2.2	-13.0
Tampa IHOP	-8.6	-2.0	4.3	14.1
Tampa TFH	26.5	2	-0.5	-20.5
<b>Goal</b>	<b>-10</b>	<b>Lower Score</b>	<b>Lower Score</b>	<b>8</b>
	-6	-2	2	3

	Child Forms			
	Symptoms	Life Satisfaction	Service Satisfaction	Functioning
	-11.0	-0.3	3.4	12.8
	-10.4	2.1	-0.1	-6.1
	-4.6	-1.0	-0.8	-3.7
<b>Goal</b>	<b>-10</b>	<b>Lower Score</b>	<b>Lower Score</b>	<b>8</b>
	-9	0	1	1

	Worker Forms		
	Symptoms	Roles	Functioning
	12	0	-6
	-15.0	0.0	17.2
	-14.0	15.5	5.4
	-11.0	0.0	3.0
	-11.0	0.0	3.0
	-22.0	0.0	-6.0
	-7.7	-0.1	2.0
	-6.0	0.0	9.2
	12.5	0	-7.5
<b>Goal</b>	<b>-10</b>		<b>8</b>
	-7	2	2

## Ohio Scales Average Agency Scoring

CTRL+Shift+enter to make formula work

	Parent Forms				Child Forms				Worker Forms		
	Symptoms	Life Satisfaction	Service Satisfaction	Functioning	Symptoms	Life Satisfaction	Service Satisfaction	Functioning	Symptoms	Roles	Functioning
<b>Goal</b>	<b>-10</b>	<b>Lower Score</b>	<b>Lower Score</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>-10</b>	<b>Lower Score</b>	<b>Lower Score</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>-10</b>		<b>8</b>
Bradenton TFH	5	0	0	1	4	1	0	-2	-1	1	0
Clearwater TFH	1	-1	0	-4	0	-2	-12	19	-1	0	3
Lauderdale IHOP	-3	-1	0	5	-6	-1	1	5	-8	0	7
Lauderdale TFH	1	0	0	-7	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	5	0	2
Lauderdale TIES	-3	0	-2	5	-10	-3	-1	4	-11	5	13
Ocala IHOP	-13	-2	-2	7	-9	-2	-1	5	-11	2	4
Ocala TFH	-6	-1	-2	8	1	-1	-6	-17	-5	-14	17
Palm Beach IHOP	-5	-1	-2	6	-9	-1	3	3	-8	0	6
Palm Beach TFH	2	0	-1	-4	-2	-1	-3	-7	-4	0	-5
Tallahassee IHOP	-13	-3	-1	3	-3	1	0	8	-11	0	9
Tampa IHOP	-10	-1	4	9	2	-2	5	1	-7	0	6
Tampa TFH	-1	0	1	-1	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-7	0	7
<b>Overall Average</b>	<b>-4</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>-3</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>-6</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>June 30, 2016</b>	<b>-4</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>-3</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>-6</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>6</b>

## Employee Turnover Rate

### Definitions

Number of Separations During the Month: The total of all voluntary and involuntary terminations and resignations during the month

Average Number of Employees on Payroll During the Month: Total employees on the first of the month subtracted from the total number of employees at the end of the month divided by 2

### Reporter

**Director of Human Resources**

	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	2015-2016 Turnover Rate	2014-2015 Turnover Rate
Total Number of employees on 1st of the month	296	294	294											
Total number of employees on the last day of the month	294	294	300											
Average Number of Employees	295	294	297	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number of Separations During the Month	12	11	11											
Turnover Percentage	4.07%	3.74%	3.70%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	45.39%	47.15%

**Employee Reason for Termination**

*Definitions*

Report the number of terminations for each reason per month.

The total should equal the number of separation on turnover percentage page

**Reporter**

**Director of Human Resources**

	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	Total	2015-2016 Totals	2014-2015 Totals
Resignation-Another Job	10	7	5											68	76
Resignation - Return to School														3	4
Did Not Return From leave														3	2
Resignation - Personal Reasons		3	4											18	34
Retirement														6	0
Resignation - Job Dissatisfaction	1													5	3
Terminated - Unsatisfactory Job Performance		1	1											6	2
Terminated- Violation of Company Policy	1													0	11
Terminated - Insubordination; Negligence														0	0
Terminated During 90 Day - Performance														0	1
Resignation During 90-Day Probationary Period			1											0	2
Lay Off														27	31
Resignation/Job Abandonment														2	1
Number of Separations During the Month	12	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	138	167

## Number of New Donors

Enter the number of new donors by site per month

**Reporter**                      **Development Director**

Location	Source	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	2015-2016 Totals	2014-2015 Totals
	1 Raisers Edge													19	18
	10 Raisers Edge													3	5
	11 Raisers Edge													0	0
	12 Raisers Edge													0	0
	13 Raisers Edge													0	0
	27 Raisers Edge													0	0
	28 Raisers Edge													0	0
	31 Raisers Edge													1	0
	33 Raisers Edge													7	8
	34 Raisers Edge													0	7
	35 Raisers Edge	4												4	12
	36 Raisers Edge		1											6	152
	37 Raisers Edge													0	0
	40 Raisers Edge													23	21
	45 Raisers Edge													0	0
	49 Raisers Edge													0	0
	50 Raisers Edge													1	6
	51 Raisers Edge													0	0
	52 Raisers Edge													0	1
	60 Raisers Edge													0	0
	70 Raisers Edge	1												1	8
	72 Raisers Edge													0	0
	80 Raisers Edge													18	42
	81 Raisers Edge													1	0
	90 Raisers Edge													0	0
	91 Raisers Edge													0	0
Total		5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	280

**Significant Events by Type**

Enter the number of significant events by type for each month

Reporter: Corporate Office

	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	2015-2016 Totals	2014-2015 Totals
Inappropriate sexual behavior between children	4	1	4										19	24
Runaway over 24 hours	4	6	2										26	123
Sexual abuse allegation in Camelot foster home													1	3
Physical abuse/neglect allegation in Camelot foster home	6	2	2										12	21
Sentinal Event													0	2
Allegation of staff/agency misconduct													0	1
Other risk management issue	2	2	2										23	34
Client injury requiring medical attention	6		1										29	38
Client Illness	1	1	3										16	43
Medical hospitalization	2	1											24	36
Medication Error	2		2										8	19
Adverse medication reaction													0	0
Significant injury of client													3	2
Staff and client involved in motor vehicle accident			2										3	3
Threat/assault to staff/damage to Camelot property													4	10
Runaway under 24 hours	7	2	7										41	203
Client Arrest	4	1	3										13	99
Psychiatric hospitalization resulting from harm to self	5	1	2										24	109
Psychiatric hospitalization resulting from harm to others	2	1											27	31
Psychiatric hospitalization resulting from suicidal ideations	2	1	2										42	41
Client harmful to others resulting in physical harm to self or others w/o psychiatric hospitalization		2	3										18	15
Client harmful to self resulting in medical attention w/o psychiatric hospitalization		2	2										6	19
Sexual abuse allegation in non-Camelot home		1	1										27	52
Physical abuse/neglect allegation in non-Camelot home	4	3	2										56	139
Arson/firesetting													1	2
<b>Total Significant Events</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>1069</b>

### Significant Event by Program

Enter the number of significant events by Program

Reporter: Corporate Office

	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	2015-2016 Totals	2014-2015 Totals
Ocala CARE													0	0
Ocala In Home/Outpatient													2	2
Ocala IRP													3	0
Ocala TFH	1		2										18	10
Orange Detention													0	0
Live Oak/Starke CWCM	20	4	10										120	112
Gainesville TFH	1													
Gainesville In Home/Outpatient	1													
Tallahassee In Home/Outpatient		4	1										20	60
Tallahassee TFH													1	1
Tampa Tech In Home/Outpatient	1												2	4
Tampa Tech TFH	2	1											34	22
Tampa Tech FHM	6	2	4										46	35
Tampa Adoption Support														
Tampa IL	2	2	2										16	50
Tampa Teen Center On-Call	1												0	0
Tampa Teen Center Homeless Program													1	0
Hillsborough Detention													0	0
Bradenton In Home/Outpatient	1												1	0
Bradenton Redirections													0	34
Bradenton TFH	4	4	10										43	25
Manatee Detention		1											2	3
Sarasota In Home/Outpatient														
Clearwater IL													0	0
Clearwater On-Call													0	0
Clearwater TCM													0	0
Clearwater TFH			2										10	9
Pinellas Detention													9	2
Pasco Detention													0	0
Lauderdale FHM													2	4
Lauderdale IL													2	6
Lauderdale In Home/Outpatient	1	1											16	15
Lauderdale TFH	1	4											23	9
Lauderdale Family Development	5	2	1										12	10
Lauderdale Juvenile Justice Diversion													0	0
Stuart FHM			1										1	0
Palm Beach TFH	2	2	6										15	40
Palm Beach In Home/Outpatient													2	4
Palm Beach FHM													1	1
Palm Beach FSPS	1													
Cincinnati Reading Home & Community Based													0	11
Cincinnati Reading IHBT													0	7
Cincinnati Reading MDFT													0	4
Cincinnati PH Home & Community Based													2	14
Cincinnati PH Partial Hosp	1		1										6	10
<b>Total Significant Events</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>1069</b>



**Financial Ratios**

Reporter	Chief Financial Officer												2014-2015 Average	2014-2015 Average
	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June		
<b>DSO In Days</b> Goal is less than 30 days	27.65	26.6											28.81	26.22
<b>Debt Ratio</b> Goal is less than 50%														
Total Liabilities	\$ 1,228,002	\$1,256,193.32											\$1,154,336.00	\$1,342,938.00
Total Assets	\$ 3,136,962	\$3,067,414.45											\$2,848,210.00	\$3,403,924.00
<b>Total Debt Ratio</b>	39.15%	40.95%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	40.53%	39.45%
<b>Current Ratio: Working Capital Ratio</b> Goal is Greater than 1.5														
Current Assets	\$ 2,856,625	\$2,791,233.72											\$2,560,313.00	\$3,098,255.00
Current Liabilities	\$ 1,228,002	\$1,256,193.32											\$1,071,003.00	\$1,342,938.00
	2.33	2.22	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	2.39	2.31
<b>Debt Service Ratio</b> Goal is greater than 1.0	-5.91	-7.19											-5.56	2.48
<b>Excess Working Capital</b> Goal is greater than \$1,500,000	\$1,356,624.24	\$1,291,233.72											\$1,068,854.00	\$1,845,376.00
<b>Tangible Net Worth</b>	\$1,769,912.86	\$1,677,974.58											\$1,581,027.00	\$1,776,323.00



Ocala

In-Home/Outpatient Counseling  
Comprehensive Assessments  
Child Welfare Case Management  
Juvenile Detention Mental Health  
Intensive Reunification Program  
CARE Team  
Treatment Foster Care

	(\$15,407.69)											
	(\$4,171.48)											
	(\$31,682.44)											
	(\$3,506.16)											
	\$11,564.93											
	\$8,029.06											
	\$4,030.05											
	\$46,979.99	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

(\$121,173.27)  
\$58,919.31  
\$71,102.39  
(\$19,225.79)  
\$53,858.66  
(\$25,852.69)  
(\$21,453.52)  
\$97,030.74





TFH Bed Capacity at Beginning of Month	309	307	312	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	310	310		
New TFH Homes Licensed During Month	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	16
Bed Capacity of New TFH Homes Licensed During Month	2	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	114	28
TFH Home Closures During the Month	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	18
Bed Capacity of TFH Home Closure during the month	4	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	30
Net Gain/Loss of TFH Homes	(1)	2	(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	(2)
Net Gain/Loss of TFH Beds	(2)	5	(2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89	(2)
Traditional Homes at Beginning of Month	223	225	231	226	226	226	226	226	226	226	226	226		
Traditional Bed Capacity at Beginning of Month	426	427	440	427	427	427	427	427	427	427	427	427		
New Traditional Homes Licensed During Month	6	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	81
Bed Capacity of New Traditional Homes Licensed During Month	9	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127	139
Traditional Home Closures During the Month	4	5	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88	74
Bed Capacity of Traditional Home Closure during the month	8	8	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	147	123
Net Gain/Loss of Traditional Homes	2	6	(5)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(15)	7
Net Gain/Loss of Traditional Beds	1	13	(13)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(20)	16



## TFH Placement Safety

TFH Placement safety measures the percentage of verified abuse reports within Camelot TFH homes where the perpetrator is a foster parent, foster parent family member, baby sitter or occurs in the foster parents home or under their supervision.

Denominator: Total number of children served in all TFH programs during the month

Numerator: Subset of children within the denominator who who had no abuse reports with maltreatment findings of verified during the reporting period

Reporter: Executive Director

	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	2015-2016 Totals	2014-2015 Totals
<b>Tallahassee</b>														
Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0											
Number of TFH children served during the month	1	1	1											
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	0%	0%
<b>Ocala</b>														
Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0											
Number of TFH children served during the month	15	17	18											
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	0%	0%
<b>Gainesville</b>														
Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0											
Number of TFH children served during the month	10	11	12											
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>		
<b>Tampa</b>														
Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0											
Number of TFH children served during the month	55	48	47											
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	0%	0%
<b>Clearwater</b>														
Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0											
Number of TFH children served during the month	21	24	21											
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	0.79%	0%
<b>Bradenton</b>														
Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0											
Number of TFH children served during the month	22	21	20											
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	0%	0%
<b>Lauderdale</b>														
Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0											
Number of TFH children served during the month	30	32	32											
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	0%	0%
<b>Palm Beach</b>														
Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0											
Number of TFH children served during the month	25	25	26											
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	0.59%	0%
<b>Total</b>														
Total Number of verified abuse reports as defined above	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Number of TFH children served during the month	179	179	177	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<b>Percentage</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	<b>#DIV/0!</b>	0.22%	0%







